IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

Misc. Petition No. 2362 of 1990

PETITIONER: Kumari Maya Khatri aged 23 years, D/o Shri Sewakram Khatri, R/o Chandiram Contractor, Opposite L.I.C. Building, Pandri, Raipur (M.P.)

- versus -

RESPONDENTS: 1. Shri B.K. Minz, Additional Collector in the office of the Collector, Raipur.

2. Shri J.C. Ajwani, Principal, Arts & Commerce Girls College, Raipur.

3. Sindh Sewa Mandal, Raipur through Chairman, Shri Tuljaram Fatnani.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227

OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**मामला क्रमांक** W.P. No. 2362/90 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | **05.07.2005**<br>Mr. Santosh Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner.<br>Mr. Amit Verma, learned counsel for respondents 1 & 2.<br>The petitioner was appointed as Lecturer in Arts & Commerce Girls College, Raipur vide order dated 12.08.1989 (Annexure-A) for the period from 11.08.1989 to 08.10.1989 and thereafter she was allowed to continue in service vide order dated 10.10.1989 (Annexure-B) for a further period from 10.10.1989 to 31.03.1990. The petitioner was confirmed on the said post (Annexure-C).<br>This petition was filed by the petitioner seeking a writ of mandamus restraining the respondents for performing the regular duties by the petitioner in Arts & Commerce Girls College, Raipur on 30.08.1990. The High Court of Madhya Pradesh by order dated 06.09.1990 while issuing notices, directed the respondents not to issue any appointment orders for the appointment of Lecturers in Commerce subject until further orders. After notice and after hearing learned counsel for the parties, the High Court directed the respondents to allow the petitioner to work as Lecturer in Commerce on 14.12.1990. It appears that the petitioner continued to work as Lecturer in Commerce Department from 06.09.1990 till date.<br>One application for vacating interim order dated 04.12.1990 was filed on 04.12.1990. No step was taken for getting the matter taken up for hearing till 29.06.2005. When the said application was taken up for hearing on 29.06.2005, the application was dismissed as not pressed, in view of the fact that the petitioner has been | |

- 20,000

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**मामला क्रमांक** W.P. No. 2362/90 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2- | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| Sarma | continuing as permanent employee since December, 1990, there is no point in interfering at this stage after a period of 15 years.<br>In view of the order dated 14.12.1990, at this point of time it is not necessary to interfere in the matter of working of the petitioner.<br>The petition stands disposed of.<br>No order as to costs.<br>Sd/-<br>Satish K. Agnihotri<br>Judge | |

12.7.05

12.7.05